

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,402	10/03/2003	Keith Alan Micsel	009.6001 (P-11290.00) 1006		
²⁹⁹⁰⁶ INGRASSIA F	7590 09/24/2007 FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.		EXAMINER		
7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325			ALTER, ALYSSA M		
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occasions	10/678,402	MIESEL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2006.			
· —	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-24 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 13, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection under Stokes et al. (US 5,814,089) in view of Meadows et al. (US 6,516,227).

It is noted that the claim 1 recites a *system* comprising "a plurality of leads", not necessarily a *satellite module* having a "plurality of leads". The claim language indicates that system requires the plurality of second leads not the satellite module. If the Applicant wishes to include the "plurality of leads" into the satellite module, the examiner recommends fixing the formatting to include an indentation to reflect such an inclusion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/678,402

Art Unit: 3762

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

1. Claims 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meadows et al. (US 6,516,227 B1). Meadows et al. discloses a system for interacting with the patient tissue, as depicted in figure 2B. The examiner considers the central control module to be electrode array 110 and IPG 100 to be the satellite module with a first lead 120 connecting the two modules. The satellite module, IPG 100, contains a processor, RF telemetry, switching module, memory and an A/D converter. Also, as seen in figure 2B, the module 100 is coupled to a plurality of leads 120 and 132, via the module 110.

As to claims 8-9, figure 2B depicts two leads connecting the central control module and the satellite module. Two leads meet the requirements of being "limited to two wires" or being "limited to four wires" since two does not exceed four.

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meadows et al. (US 6,516,227 B1). Meadows et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the transmission of power. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Meadows et al. to include power transmission since it was known in the art that transmitting power, enables an implanted medical device to be recharged instead of explanted when power is low. This reduces the risk of infection and unnecessary surgery.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,402

Art Unit: 3762

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alysså M Alter Examiner

Cingle D. Ah

Art Unit 3762

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700